## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	V. Elia Sanchez  Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00106-JTN	
	Ifter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – Findings of	f Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	s or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicuous.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	ted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim		
	the possession or use of a firearm or destrue a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not		
	Alternative Findin		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	nmitted an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in: .*	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa		
<u>√</u> (1)	Alternative Findin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community.	
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	sons for Detention	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing	
<ol><li>Defer</li></ol>	ndant waived her detention hearing, electing not to contest detendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released indant may bring the issue of her continuing detention to the cou	n any case.	
	Part III – Directions Regard	ding Detention	
	the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awa	eral or a designated representative for confinement in a	

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 14, 2019	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	